1	PORTER SCOTT		
2	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION		
	Carl L. Fessenden, SBN 161494 William E. Camy, SBN 291397		
3	Megan N. Boelter, SBN 340235		
4	2180 Harvard Street, Suite 500		
5	Sacramento, California 95815 c TEL: 916.929.1481		
	FAX: 916.927.3706		
6	<u>cfessenden@porterscott.com</u>		
7	wcamy@porterscott.com		
8	mboelter@porterscott.com		
	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, JIM SPURGEON and MICHAEL DANIELS		
10	Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Government Code	§ 6103	
11			
11	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
12	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	CHARLES HOUFF, individually, and as a	CASE NO. 2:22-CV-01150-MCE-JDP	
14	successor-in-interest to Decedent AMELIAN	CASE IVO. 2.22 CV 01130 WICE VD1	
14	HOUFF; OLIVIA EDWARDS, individually,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER	
15	and as successor-in-interest to Decedent	REGARDING SCHEDULING ORDER	
16	AMELIAN HOUFF,	Complaint Filed: 7/1/2022	
17	Plaintiff,	Complaint Fried. 1/1/2022	
1 /	,		
18	v.		
19	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal		
20	corporation; CITY OF SACRAMENTO		
20	police officer MITCHELL BARRETT, in his		
21	individual and official capacities as an		
22	officer for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO;		
	CITY OF SACRAMENTO police sergeant MICHAEL FRAZER, in his individual and		
23	official capacities as an officer for the CITY		
24	OF SACRAMENTO; CITY OF		
25	SACRAMENTO police Lieutenant BRIAN		
	ELLIS, in his individual and official capacities as an officer for the CITY OF		
26	SACRAMENTO; COUNTY OF		
27	SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation;		
28	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO Sheriff's		
	Sergeant JIM SPURGEON, in his individual		
	and official capacities as a deputy for the		

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO Sheriff's Lieutenant MICHAEL DANIELS, in his individual and official capacities as a deputy for the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, individually, jointly, and severally,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs CHARLES HOUFF and OLIVIA EDWARDS ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, JIM SPURGEON, MICHAEL DANIELS, CITY OF SACRAMENTO, MITCHELL BARRET, MICHAEL FRAZER and BRIAN ELLIS ("Defendants") (Plaintiffs and Defendants collectively referred to as the "parties"), by and through their respective counsels of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The parties entered into a Joint Stipulation on June 10, 2024, which was signed by the Court and filed on June 13, 2024. (ECF No. 55.) In the Joint Stipulation, the parties agreed there was good cause to allow Andrew Keegans' deposition to occur after the close of fact discovery and within a reasonable time after August 29, 2024. Andrew Keegans' deposition was ordered to occur no later than October 1, 2024.
- 2. Defendant County of Sacramento noticed Andrew Keegans' deposition for a third time to occur on September 3, 2024, which was the first day the parties were available after August 29, 2024. Andrew Keegans did not appear.
- 3. On September 4, 2024, Magistrate Judge Peterson's courtroom deputy informed Defendant County of Sacramento that the earliest hearing date for a Motion to Compel Andrew Keegans' deposition would be October 3, 2024. On September 16, 2024, Defendant County of Sacramento filed a Motion to Compel Andrew Keegans' Deposition. The hearing is currently set for October 3, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.
- 4. As such, despite the parties' best efforts and due diligence, the deposition of Andrew

¹ The statute of limitations for any felony charges resulting from the subject incident expired on August 29, 2024. The parties agreed to take Andrew Keegans' deposition after that date so as not to run into any issues related to the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Case 2:22-cv-01150-MCE-JDP Document 58 Filed 09/25/24 Page 3 of 5

1 Keegans will not occur prior to October 1, 2024. Given the above-mentioned circumstances 2 and the pending Motion to Compel, the parties agree that there is good cause to extend the 3 deadline by which to take Andrew Keegans' deposition to December 1, 2024. 4 5. All other deadlines shall remain unchanged. 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 Dated: 9/24/2024 PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 8 9 By: /s/ Megan N. Boelter 10 Carl L. Fessenden William E. Camy 11 Megan N. Boelter Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF 12 SACRAMENTO, MICHAEL DANIELS 13 and JIM SPURGEON 14 15 Date: 9/24/2024 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN L. BURRIS 16 By: /s/ Benjamin Nisenbaum 17 John Burris 18 Benjamin Nisenbaum 19 James Cook Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLES HOUFF 20 21 Date: 9/24/2024 **BRYANT LAW GROUP** 22 By: /s/ Ian Kelley 23 Ian Kelley Paul Alaga 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff OLIVIA EDWARDS 25 26 27 28 3

1	Date: 9/24/2024	DEAN GAZZO ROISTACHER LLP
2		
3		By: <u>/s/ Aleries Lau</u>
4		Mitchell Dean
5		Lee Roistacher
6		Aleries Lau Attorneys for Defendant BRIAN ELLIS
7	Date: 9/24/2024	CITY OF SACRAMENTO
8	Dute: 7/2 1/2021	CITT OF SHERIMELVIO
		By: <u>/s/ Sean D. Richmond</u>
9		Sean D. Richmond
10		Kate D. L. Brosseau
11		Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF SACRAMENTO, MITCHELL BARRET and MICHAEL FRAZER
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		
۷٥		
		4

Case 2:22-cv-01150-MCE-JDP Document 58 Filed 09/25/24 Page 5 of 5

ORDER The Court, having reviewed and considered the Parties' foregoing Stipulation, and finding good cause, hereby Orders as follows: 1. The deposition of Andrew Keegans must occur by December 1, 2024. Any discovery motions related thereto may also be brought at that time. 2. All other deadlines shall remain unchanged. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 25, 2024 SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE